IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

CARL WINFIELD,

Plaintiff.

v.

MATHEW FAIRBROTHER,

Defendant.

MEMORANDUM DECISION & ORDER TO CURE DEFICIENT COMPLAINT

Case No. 2:19-CV-281-TC

District Court Judge Tena Campbell

Plaintiff, Carl Winfield, brings this *pro se* civil-rights action, *see* 42 U.S.C.S. § 1983 (2019), ¹ *in forma pauperis*, *see* 28 id. § 1915. Having now screened the Complaint, (Doc. No. 4), under its statutory review function, ² the Court orders Plaintiff to file an amended complaint to cure deficiencies before further pursuing claims.

Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State or Territory . . ., subjects, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit in equity, or other proper proceeding for redress, except that in any action brought against a judicial officer for an act or omission taken in such officer's judicial capacity, injunctive relief shall not be granted unless a declaratory decree was violated or declaratory relief was unavailable.

42 U.S.C.S. § 1983 (2019).

- (a) Screening.—The court shall review . . . a complaint in a civil action in which a prisoner seeks redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity.
- (b) Grounds for dismissal.—On review, the court shall identify cognizable claims or dismiss the complaint, or any portion of the complaint, if the complaint—
 - (1) is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted; or
 - (2) seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief.

28 U.S.C.S. § 1915A (2019).

¹The federal statute creating a "civil action for deprivation of rights" reads, in pertinent part:

² The screening statute reads:

COMPLAINT'S DEFICIENCIES

Complaint is complicated by possible added defendants and claims from other documents filed later. (Doc. Nos. 8 (letter), 10 ("Amended Complaint"), 12 ("Second Amendment for Complaint"), 13 ("Supplement for Complaint"), 14 ("Supplement for Complaint"), 15 (motion for preliminary injunction), 16 ("Supplement for Requested Preliminary Injunction"), and 17 ("Motion for Restraining Order).)

GUIDANCE FOR PLAINTIFF

Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires a complaint to contain "(1) a short and plain statement of the grounds for the court's jurisdiction . . .; (2) a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief; and (3) a demand for the relief sought." Rule 8's requirements mean to guarantee "that defendants enjoy fair notice of what the claims against them are and the grounds upon which they rest." *TV Commc'ns Network, Inc. v ESPN, Inc.*, 767 F. Supp. 1062, 1069 (D. Colo. 1991).

Pro se litigants are not excused from complying with these minimal pleading demands. "This is so because a pro se plaintiff requires no special legal training to recount the facts surrounding his alleged injury, and he must provide such facts if the court is to determine whether he makes out a claim on which relief can be granted." *Hall v. Bellmon*, 935 F.2d 1106, 1110 (10th Cir. 1991). Moreover, it is improper for the Court "to assume the role of advocate for a pro se litigant." *Id.* Thus, the Court cannot "supply additional facts, [or] construct a legal theory for plaintiff that assumes facts that have not been pleaded." *Dunn v. White*, 880 F.2d 1188, 1197 (10th Cir. 1989).

Plaintiff should consider these general points before filing an amended complaint:

- (1) The revised complaint must stand entirely on its own and shall not refer to, or incorporate by reference, any portion of the original complaint. *See Murray v. Archambo*, 132 F.3d 609, 612 (10th Cir. 1998) (stating amended complaint supersedes original). The amended complaint may also not be added to after it is filed without moving for amendment.³
- (2) The complaint must clearly state what each defendant--typically, a named government employee--did to violate Plaintiff's civil rights. *See Bennett v. Passic*, 545 F.2d 1260, 1262-63 (10th Cir. 1976) (stating personal participation of each named defendant is essential allegation in civil-rights action). "To state a claim, a complaint must 'make clear exactly *who* is alleged to have done *what* to *whom*." *Stone v. Albert*, 338 F. App'x 757, 759 (10th Cir. 2009) (unpublished) (emphasis in original) (quoting *Robbins v. Oklahoma*, 519 F.3d 1242, 1250 (10th Cir. 2008)). Plaintiff should also include, as much as possible, specific dates or at least estimates of when alleged constitutional violations occurred.
- (3) Each cause of action, together with the facts and citations that directly support it, should be stated separately. Plaintiff should be as brief as possible while still using enough words to fully explain the "who," "what," "where," "when," and "why" of each claim.

³ The rule on amending a pleading reads:

⁽a) Amendments Before Trial.

⁽¹⁾ Amending as a Matter of Course. A party may amend its pleading once as a matter of course within:

⁽A) 21 days after serving it, or

⁽B) if the pleading is one to which a responsive pleading is required, 21 days after service of a responsive pleading or 21 days after service of a motion under Rule 12(b), (e), or (f), whichever is earlier.

⁽²⁾ Other Amendments. In all other cases, a party may amend its pleadings only with the opposing party's written consent or the court's leave. The court should freely give leave when justice so requires.

- (4) Plaintiff may not name an individual as a defendant based solely on his or her supervisory position. *See Mitchell v. Maynard*, 80 F.2d 1433, 1441 (10th Cir. 1996) (stating supervisory status alone does not support § 1983 liability).
- (5) Grievance denial alone with no connection to "violation of constitutional rights alleged by plaintiff, does not establish personal participation under § 1983." *Gallagher v. Shelton*, 587 F.3d 1063, 1069 (10th Cir. 2009).
- (6) "No action shall be brought with respect to prison conditions under . . . Federal law, by a prisoner confined in any jail, prison, or other correctional facility until such administrative remedies as are available are exhausted." 42 U.S.C.S. § 1997e(a) (2019). However, Plaintiff need not include grievance details in the complaint. Exhaustion of administrative remedies is an affirmative defense that must be raised by Defendants. *Jones v. Bock*, 549 U.S. 199, 216 (2007).

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- (1) Plaintiff must within thirty days cure the Complaint's deficiencies noted above by filing a document entitled, "Third Amended Complaint." All defendants and claims should be included in a third amended complaint, if filed, and will not be treated further by the Court unless properly included.
- (2) The Clerk's Office shall mail Plaintiff the Pro Se Litigant Guide with a blank-form civilrights complaint which Plaintiff must use if Plaintiff wishes to pursue an amended complaint.
- (3) If Plaintiff fails to timely cure the above deficiencies according to this Order's instructions, this action will be dismissed without further notice.

(4) Plaintiff shall not try to serve Third Amended Complaint on Defendants; instead the Court

will perform its screening function and determine itself whether the amended complaint warrants

service. No motion for service of process is needed. See 28 U.S.C.S. § 1915(d) (2019) ("The

officers of the court shall issue and serve all process, and perform all duties in [in forma

pauperis] cases.").

(5) THE COURT WILL NOT ACCEPT ANY FILINGS FROM PLAINTIFF EXCEPT FOR A

THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT UNTIL FURTHER FILINGS ARE SPECIFICALLY

INVITED BY THE COURT. The Clerk's Office is ordered to return to sender any attempted

filing except for a third amended complaint until further notice by the Court. This is made

necessary by the fact that Plaintiff has submitted nine documents outside his Complaint that all

assert further defendants and issues to be considered by the Court. Plaintiff must focus and

clarify his claims by keeping them within the corners of one document, labeled "Third Amended

Complaint."

DATED this 13th day of September, 2019.

BY THE COURT:

JUDGE TENA CAMPBELI

United States District Court